Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2008

	Contents
Report Letter	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-10
Basic Financial Statements	
Government-wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities	 12- 3
Fund Financial Statements: Governmental Funds: Balance Sheet	14
Reconciliation of Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets	14
Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and	16
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	17
Proprietary Funds: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Statement of Cash Flows	18 19 20
Fiduciary Funds: Statement of Net Assets Statement of Changes in Net Assets	21 22
Notes to Financial Statements	23-43
Required Supplemental Information	44
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	45-46
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Major Special Revenue Funds	47-48
Other Supplemental Information	49
Nonmajor Governmental Funds: Combining Balance Sheet Combining Statement of Povenue, Expanditures, and Changes in Fund	50-51
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	52-53
Fiduciary Funds - Combining Statement of Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds	54





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the City Council City of Northville, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Northville (the "City") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Northville's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Northville as of June 30, 2008 and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis and the budgetary comparison schedules, as identified in the table of contents, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplemental information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.



To the City Council City of Northville, Michigan

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Northville's basic financial statements. The accompanying other supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is presented for the purpose of additional analysis. The other supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Plante & Moran, PLLC

September 26, 2008

Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion and analysis of the financial performance for the City of Northville (the "City") provides an overview of the City's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. Please read it in conjunction with the City's financial statements.

Financial Highlights

The following represents financial highlights for the year ended June 30, 2008.

- Property taxes continue to be the City's single largest source of revenue at 48 percent of governmental revenue.
- State-shared revenue, the City's second largest source of revenue in the General Fund, remained at the same level as the prior year. This is significant since the long-term stability of state-shared revenue from sales tax is a concern for municipalities. It should also be noted that the appropriation received from the State is far less than the amounts available based on state law.
- The City closely monitors revenue fluctuations and expenditure requirements which are reflected in quarterly budget amendments. As a result of those efforts, the City's largest fund, the General Fund, was within budget by less than I percent.
- The City Council's stated goal of maintaining financial stability is evidenced by the fact that there was no decrease to the fund balance in the General Fund for the year ended June 30, 2008.
- Long-term debt for the primary government decreased by \$450,000 or 21 percent, which improves the City's overall financial position. This is consistent with the City's long-term goal to reduce debt. Instead, where appropriate, a well-planned "pay-as-you-go" system has been established and utilized.

Using this Annual Report

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The statement of net assets and the statement of activities provide information about the activities of the City as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. This longer-term view uses the accrual basis of accounting so that it can measure the cost of providing services during the current year and whether the taxpayers have funded the full cost of providing government services.

The fund financial statements present a short-term view; they tell us how the taxpayers' resources were spent during the year, as well as how much is available for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds. The fiduciary fund statements provide financial information about activities for which the City acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of those outside of the government.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The City as a Whole

The following table shows, in a condensed format, the current year's net assets compared to the two prior years.

TABLE I

		Changes from Prior Year					
	 2006	 2007		2008		in Dollars	Percent
Assets							
Current assets	\$ 9,466,278	\$ 10,198,656	\$	10,331,863	\$	133,207	1
Noncurrent assets	 25,078,011	 24,781,050		27,186,647		2,405,597	10
Total assets	34,544,289	34,979,706		37,518,510		2,538,804	7
Liabilities							
Current liabilities	1,249,378	1,318,441		1,300,390		(18,051)	(1)
Long-term liabilities	 2,654,575	 2,099,682		1,649,745		(449,937)	(21)
Total liabilities	 3,903,953	 3,418,123		2,950,135		(467,988)	(14)
Net Assets							
Invested in capital assets -							
Net of related debt	21,274,871	21,554,603		24,125,709		2,571,106	12
Restricted	3,428,965	3,896,693		4,124,585		227,892	6
Unrestricted	 5,936,500	 6,110,287		6,318,081		207,794	3
Total net assets	\$ 30,640,336	\$ 31,561,583	\$	34,568,375	\$	3,006,792	10

Overall, the City's net assets of the governmental activities increased by approximately \$3,000,000, or I 0 percent, from a year ago. This is due to a combination of capital expenditures offset by decreased debt as further explained below.

The increase in noncurrent assets is consistent with the increase in net assets - invested in capital assets. Both of these categories reflect capital asset additions, net of depreciation, of \$2.4 million. Major projects completed during the year include the Town Square (\$1.8 million) and the Taft Road reconstruction project (\$1.1 million).

The decrease in long-term liabilities is expected for two reasons. First, no new debt was issued this year. Second, the majority of this decrease is due to principal paid on long-term debt of \$615,000.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Governmental Activities

The following table shows the changes in net assets during the current year as compared to the two prior years:

TABLE 2

TABLE 2		Go	vern	mental Activi	ties			hanges from Prior Year		
		2006		2007		2008		in Dollars	Percent	
Revenue										
Program revenue:										
Charges for services	\$	2,335,021	\$	1,433,018	\$	1,376,553	\$	(56,465)	(4)	
Operating grants and										
contributions		917,600		703,559		805,368		101,809	14	
Capital grants and										
contributions		589,231		206,124		2,111,523		1,905,399	924	
General revenue:										
Property taxes		5,113,823		5,184,152		5,430,977		246,825	5	
State-shared revenue		603,834		587,043		587,870		827	-	
Unrestricted										
investment earnings		368,647		481,862		491,306		9,444	2	
Franchise fees		81,024		86,096		95,005		8,909	10	
Racetrack breakage		422,381		385,879		327,923		(57,956)	(15)	
Gain (loss) on disposal										
of assets		(938)		(9,257)		23,604	_	32,861	355	
Total revenue		10,430,623		9,058,476		11,250,129		2,191,653	24	
Program Expenses										
General government		2,167,794		2,699,926		2,120,378		(579,548)	(21)	
Public safety		2,567,022		2,761,197		3,024,633		263,436	10	
Public works		1,931,152		1,486,218		1,923,081		436,863	29	
Recreation and culture		363,380		325,710		359,024		33,314	10	
Senior housing		730,137		748,962		727,792		(21,170)	(3)	
Interest on long-term debt	_	149,374		115,216		88,429		(26,787)	(23)	
Total program										
expenses		7,908,859		8,137,229		8,243,337		106,108	I	
Change in Net Assets	<u>\$</u>	2,521,764	\$	921,247	\$	3,006,792	\$	2,085,545	226	

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The City of Northville experienced an overall increase of 24 percent in total revenue from the prior year. This was due to the following reasons:

- 1. Capital grants and contributions include three unique items this year. First, \$372,000 of grant revenue for the Taft Road project. In addition, a \$185,000 grant received from the final accounting of a Michigan Department of Transportation project completed in a prior year. Third, certain capital assets, although funded by DDA tax increment financing revenue, ultimately are recorded as City assets. This year, \$1,407,445 of assets were recorded in the net assets of the City. That amount is considered a capital grant to the City from the DDA.
- 2. Property taxes increased 5 percent from the prior year due to an inflationary increase in the taxable value

An overall increase of I percent in total expenses is net of the following significant fluctuations from the prior year:

- General government decreased approximately 21 percent for two reasons. First, the prior
 year reflected a transfer from an internal trust fund to a newly established trust fund through
 the Michigan Municipal Employees' Retirement System for postretirement health care in the
 amount of \$427,000. Second, the City has had positive results in its insurance retention fund
 which created a decrease in expense of \$79,000.
- 2. Public safety increased approximately 10 percent for two reasons. First, the City's cost allocation for the joint dispatch operation with the Charter Township of Northville increased based upon increased staffing at the community dispatch center. Second, the cost of fringe benefits is most noticeable in the police personnel expenditure categories. Like other municipalities, the City of Northville is faced with increased cost of health care in addition to prefunding the retiree healthcare commitment.
- Public works expense for the fiscal year increased due to Town Square project related activity in 2008.

Business-type Activities

The City's business-type activities consist of the Water and Sewer and Refuse and Recycling Funds. The City maintains transmission lines that allow customers to receive water from the Detroit water system and deliver it to its residents. In addition, a water tower is operated and maintained to supplement water pressure during periods of peak water demand. Sewage treatment is provided through Wayne County's Rouge Valley Sewage Disposal System. Refuse collection and recycling services are provided by an outside commercial entity.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

The following tables show, in a condensed format, the current year net assets and changes in net assets, compared to the prior year:

TABLE 3

		Business-type Activitie	es	Changes from Prior Year					
	2006	2007	2008	in Dollars	Percent				
Assets									
Current assets	\$ 2,302,255	\$ 2,677,900	\$ 2,923,557	\$ 245,657	9				
Noncurrent assets	6,417,464	6,226,343	6,021,689	(204,654)	(3)				
Total assets	8,719,719	8,904,243	8,945,246	41,003	-				
Liabilities									
Current liabilities	394,775	402,619	361,352	(41,267)	(10)				
Long-term liabilities	211,217	122,348	25,887	(96,461)	(79)				
Total liabilities	605,992	524,967	387,239	(137,728)	(26)				
Net Assets									
Invested in capital assets -									
Net of related debt	6,132,190	6,030,993	5,918,354	(112,639)	(2)				
Restricted	5,260	5,510	5,703	193	4				
Unrestricted	1,976,277	2,342,773	2,633,950	291,177	12				
Total net assets	\$ 8,113,727	\$ 8,379,276	\$ 8,558,007	\$ 178,731	2				

A relatively minor increase in net assets of 2 percent is expected for the following reasons:

- 1. There were no significant capital improvements during the year.
- 2. Funds from operations are replenishing working capital (cash) in anticipation of future capital improvements consistent with the 20-year capital improvement plan. This explains the 9 percent increase in current assets.
- 3. Noncurrent assets, which consists primarily of capital assets, was expected to decrease as there were no capital assets constructed during the year. The decrease is approximately equal to the annual depreciation expense.
- 4. The decrease in current liabilities is also due to there being no significant capital projects in process at year end.
- 5. The reduction in long-term liabilities reflects the maturing of outstanding debt.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

TABLE 4

		Busi	ness		Changes from Prior Y					
		2006		2007		2008	ir	n Dollars	Percent	
Operating revenues:										
Water and sewer	\$	2,207,352	\$	2,260,224	\$	2,222,133	\$	(38,091)	(2)	
Refuse and recycling		459,190		461,425		422,704		(38,721)	(8)	
Operating expenses:										
Water and sewer		2,328,556		2,086,803		2,152,157		65,354	3	
Refuse and recycling		424,348	_	440,486	_	396,929		(43,557)	(10)	
Operating income (loss)		(86,362)		194,360		95,751		(98,609)	(51)	
Investment income		66,619		86,672		93,040		6,368	7	
Interest expense		(20,496)		(15,482)	_	(10,060)		5,422	(35)	
Change in Net Assets	\$	(40,239)	\$	265,550	\$	178,731	\$	(86,819)	(33)	

The rate structure of the business activities is set based upon a multiyear budgeting approach to smooth out any significant fluctuations in user fees. This long-term approach to budgeting has resulted in no customer rate adjustments for the past year, or next year, for the base water, sewer, and refuse collection.

Water and sewer revenues and expenses were consistent with the prior year, which was expected. The decrease in revenue was due to decreased water usage because of drier weather in the prior fiscal year.

The decrease in refuse and recycling revenue and expense was due to how the pass-through activity in the prior year related to a joint household hazardous waste day event was recorded. In the current year, the pass-through revenues and expenses are netted, whereas they were recorded as gross in the prior year. The amount of that activity was approximately \$39,000. All other categories of revenues and expenses were consistent with the prior year.

The City's Funds

The presentation of the City's major funds follows the government-wide financial statements. The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the most significant funds, not the City as a whole. The City Council creates funds to help manage money for specific purposes as well as to show accountability for certain activities, such as dedicated property tax millages. The City's major funds for 2008, as defined by Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, are the following.

- General Fund
- Parking Fund
- Public Improvement Fund
- Major Streets Fund

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The General Fund accounts for all police, fire, public works, planning and zoning, and administrative functions of the City government. The budget is essentially a "maintenance" budget, which means it increases modestly from year to year. The budget is monitored closely and amended quarterly.

The General Fund pays for most of the City's governmental services. The most significant category is the police department which represents 40 percent of total expenditures in 2008.

Other Major Funds Highlights

The Parking Fund records special assessment revenue related to parking credits when new or expanded development occurs in the central business district. The current fund balance will be utilized to fund future parking expansion projects.

The Public Improvement Fund accounts for racetrack breakage revenue, special public improvement projects, and many grant activities. Annually, the Northville City Council designates use of breakage funds received in excess of police and fire service costs at Northville Downs racetrack. The allocation of those funds is generally for physical improvements or programs that are of a general public use. This fund contributed \$263,100 toward the construction of the Town Square project.

The Major Streets Fund accounts for proceeds from the statewide gas and weight tax. Those funds are reserved for maintenance and improvements of "major streets" as defined by state statute. During fiscal year 2008, this fund accounted for the expenditures of reconstructing Taft Road and related federal grant revenue.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

At the end of fiscal year 2008, the City had approximately \$31.7 million (net of depreciation) invested in a broad range of capital assets, including buildings, police and fire equipment, infrastructure, and water and sewer lines.

The City continues to collect a dedicated millage approved by the voters in March 1997 for comprehensive improvements to streets, drains, and sidewalks. The focus of the related capital project spending continues to be in conjunction with a 20-year improvement plan, which is reviewed on an ongoing basis.

The City also funds a Fire Equipment and Replacement Fund. This fund allows for the purchase of equipment following a 20-year replacement program to keep equipment up to date and meet current standards. With careful planning and proper maintenance of existing equipment, it is anticipated that taxpayers will not be burdened with additional debt millages to replace existing equipment. This year, a similar fund was created for police equipment.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)

Total debt outstanding at the end of the year related to governmental activities is \$1.7 million. This represents less than I percent of the City's State Equalized Value (SEV). The City is under the legal debt margin, as defined by state statute, of 10 percent of SEV.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

The City of Northville's fiscal year 2009 budget reflects challenges that many municipalities in Michigan are facing. A slowed economy is resulting in a downward trend on property values while many personnel and other costs are increasing. In the foreseeable future, it is likely that expenditures will quickly outpace revenue. This City is beginning the new fiscal year with a comprehensive organizational review to proactively plan for its future. All departments are evaluating how to operate more efficiently, while maintaining a high level of service to the citizens.

The City is committed to not increasing its general operating millage rate in response to many of the challenges that its taxpayers may be facing because of the current economic climate in Michigan. The City's millage rate continues to be one of the lowest total millage rates in over 10 years.

Due to the City of Northville's decreasing debt load, programmed system improvements, controlled water loss, and long-term approach in determining the user fee structure, no increases in fees were required for business-type activities fees as of July 1, 2008.

Contacting the City's Management

This financial report is intended to provide the citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors with a general overview of the City's finances and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the City's finance office at 215 West Main Street, Northville, Michigan 48167, or via the City's website at www.ci.northville.mi.us.

Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2008

		Pr						
	G	overnmental Activities		isiness-type Activities		Total	ı	nponent Unit - Downtown evelopment Authority
Assets								
Cash and investments (Note 3)	\$	8,733,080	\$	2,349,588	\$	11,082,668	\$	498,241
Receivables - Net:								
Tax receivables		24,183		-		24,183		-
Customer receivables		-		504,833		504,833		-
Special assessments		661,323		-		661,323		-
Other governmental units		655,940		2,572		658,512		3,126
Other		159,205		1,111		160,316		-
Internal balances		(27,472)		27,472		-		-
Inventories		23,386		37,981		61,367		-
Other assets		72,218		-		72,218		4,350
Long-term note - Component unit:		,				,		,
Due within one year		30,000		_		30,000		_
Due in more than one year		270,000		_		270,000		_
Investments in land		225,000		_		225,000		_
Restricted cash (Note 8)		191,239		5,703		196,942		_
Investment in joint ventures (Note 11)		689,931		3,703		689,931		_
		3,403,502		30,902		3,434,404		_
Capital assets not being depreciated (Note 5)				,				-
Capital assets being depreciated - Net (Note 5)		22,406,975	_	5,985,084	_	28,392,059		<u>-</u> _
Total assets		37,518,510		8,945,246		46,463,756		505,717
Liabilities								
Accounts payable		316,985		231,172		548,157		63,508
Accrued and other liabilities		354,856		25,387		380,243		127,712
Due to other governmental units		14,284				14,284		-
Deferred revenue (Note 4)		11,469		_		11,469		_
Compensated absences:		,				,		
Due within one year		113,028		7,161		120,189		_
Due in more than one year		454,745		25,887		480,632		1,956
Long-term debt (Note 7):		13 1,7 13		25,007		100,032		1,750
Due within one year		489,768		97,632		587,400		30,000
•				77,032				*
Due in more than one year		1,195,000	_		_	1,195,000		270,000
Total liabilities		2,950,135		387,239		3,337,374		493,176
Net Assets								
Invested in capital assets - Net of								
related debt		24 125 700		5,918,354		20 044 062		
		24,125,709		3,710,334		30,044,063		-
Restricted:		1 (22 (00				1 (22 (00		
Streets, drainage, and sidewalk improvements		1,623,608		-		1,623,608		-
Cemetery		721,531		-		721,531		-
Insurance retention		161,854		-		161,854		-
Parking		1,320,957		-		1,320,957		-
Parking debt		296,635		-		296,635		-
Other purposes				5,703		5,703		-
Unrestricted		6,318,081		2,633,950		8,952,031		12,541
Total net assets	\$	34,568,375	\$	8,558,007	<u>\$</u>	43,126,382	\$	12,541

			Program Revenues									
					(Operating						
			(Charges for	G	Frants and	Ca	apital Grants				
		Expenses		Services		ntributions	and	Contributions				
Functions/Programs												
Primary government:												
Governmental activities:												
General government	\$	2,120,378	\$	508,183	\$	-	\$	3,845				
Public safety		3,024,633		123,998		48,146		8,104				
Public works		1,923,081		13,305		675,253		2,084,992				
Recreation and culture		359,024		58,851		-		14,582				
Senior housing		727,792		672,216		81,969		-				
Interest on long-term debt		88,429										
Total governmental activities		8,243,337		1,376,553		805,368		2,111,523				
Business-type activities:												
Water and sewer		2,162,217		2,222,133		_		-				
Refuse and recycling		396,929		422,704								
Total business-type activities		2,559,146		2,644,837				-				
Total primary government	<u>\$</u>	10,802,483	\$	4,021,390	\$	805,368	\$	2,111,523				
Component unit - Downtown Development												
Authority	\$	2,584,633	\$	-	\$	11,491	\$	319,200				

General revenues:

Property taxes State-shared revenues

Unrestricted investment earnings

Racetrack breakage revenue

Franchise fee revenue

Gain on sale of capital asset

Total general revenues and gain on sale of capital asset

Change in Net Assets

Net Assets - Beginning of year

Net Assets - End of year

Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2008

	Primary Government										
_	Governmental	Business-type	<u> </u>		Component						
	Activities	Activities		Total	Units						
\$	(1,608,350)	\$ -	\$	(1,608,350)	\$ -						
•	(2,844,385)	· -	·	(2,844,385)	-						
	850,469 [°]	-		850,469 [°]	-						
	(285,591)	-		(285,591)	-						
	26,393	-		26,393	-						
_	(88,429)			(88,429)							
	(3,949,893)	-		(3,949,893)	-						
		59,916		59,916	-						
_		25,775		25,775							
		85,691		85,691							
	(3,949,893)	85,691		(3,864,202)	-						
	-	-		-	(2,253,942)						
	5,430,977	<u>-</u>		5,430,977	1,102,922						
	587,870	-		587,870	-						
	491,306	93,040		584,346	33,926						
	327,923	-		327,923	-						
	95,005	-		95,005	-						
_	23,604	-		23,604							
	6,956,685	93,040		7,049,725	1,136,848						
	3,006,792	178,731		3,185,523	(1,117,094)						
_	31,561,583	8,379,276		39,940,859	1,129,635						
\$	34,568,375	\$ 8,558,007	\$	43,126,382	\$ 12,541						

Governmental Funds Balance Sheet June 30, 2008

				Мајо	ds	_						
Assets		General Fund		Parking	In	Public nprovement	^	1ajor Steets	Other Nonmajor Governmental Funds			Total overnmental Funds
Assets												
Cash and investments (Note 3) Restricted cash	\$	1,959,775 1,216	\$	798,128	\$	1,454,722 -	\$	388,25 I -	\$	3,486,055 190,023	\$	8,086,931 191,239
Receivables - Net:		•								,		,
Delinquent taxes		24.183		_		_		_		_		24.183
Other governmental units		292,054		13,390		_		306.531		43,965		655,940
Component unit		300,000		· -		_		, <u> </u>		´ -		300,000
Assessments		, <u> </u>		608,400		25.041		_		27.882		661,323
Other		123,995		-		27,710		_		7,500		159,205
Other assets		31,852		_				_		45,684		77,536
Investment in land				_		225,000		_		-		225,000
	_									-		
Total assets	\$	2,733,075	\$	1,419,918	\$	1,732,473	\$	694,782	\$	3,801,109	\$	10,381,357
Liabilities and Fund Balances												
Liabilities												
Accounts payable	\$	87,492	\$	98,961	\$	2,810	\$	110,189	\$	13,710	\$	313,162
Accrued and other liabilities		293,803		_		250		1,379		37,664		333,096
Due to other governmental units		14,284		_		_		_		-		14,284
Deferred revenue	_			508,545		18,745	_	80,928		11,469	_	619,687
Total liabilities		395,579		607,506		21,805		192,496		62,843		1,280,229
Fund Balances												
Reserved:												
Investment in land		_		_		225,000		_		_		225.000
Parking		_		812,412				_		_		812,412
Cemetery		_		-		69,972		_		721,531		791,503
Other		304,753		_		6,810		_				311,563
Unreserved:		55.,,55				5,5.5						5,555
Designated, reported in:												
General Fund (Note 12)		10,393		_		_		_		_		10,393
Special Revenue Funds (Note 12)		-		_		1.175.685		_		_		1.175.685
Undesignated, reported in:						.,,						.,,
General Fund		2,022,350		_		_		_		_		2,022,350
Special Revenue Funds		-		_		233,201		502.286		2,170,242		2,905,729
Debt Service Funds		_		_				-		342,259		342,259
Capital Projects Funds							_			504,234		504,234
Total fund balances		2,337,496		812,412		1,710,668	_	502,286		3,738,266		9,101,128
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	2,733,075	\$	1,419,918	\$	1,732,473	\$	694,782	\$	3,801,109	\$	10,381,357

Governmental Funds Reconciliation of Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2008

Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 9,101,128
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the funds	15,541,631
Infrastructure assets used in government activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the funds	9,660,307
Grant revenue is recognized in the statement of activities when earned; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end	80,928
Special assessment receivables are expected to be collected over several years and are not available to pay for current year expenditures	527,290
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and are not reported in the funds	(1,684,768)
Interest payable is not accrued in the funds	(19,783)
Compensated absences are not included as a liability of the funds	(567,773)
Investments in joint ventures are included as part of governmental activities	689,931
Internal Service Funds are also included as governmental activities	 1,239,484
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	\$ 34,568,375

Governmental Funds Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Year Ended June 30, 2008

				Мајог	r Spe	ecial Revenue I	Funds	-			
	General Fund			Public Parking Improvement			Major Streets	Other Nonmajor Governmental Funds		Gov	Total vernmental Funds
Revenue											
Property taxes	\$	4,806,297	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	624,680	\$	5,430,977
Licenses and permits		263,280		-		-	-		-		263,280
Special assessments		-		172,899		8,885	-		31,005		212,789
Federal sources		-		-		-	285,039		6,000		291,039
State-shared and grant revenue		600,483		-		-	338,778		125,276		1,064,537
Local contributions		75,151				4,500	2,812		373,602		456,065
Sales and services		129,334		-		-	-		36,284		165,618
Charges for overhead services		192,277				-	-		-		192,277
Fines and forfeitures		97,861		-		-	-		-		97,861
Michigan Housing Authority subsidies		-		-		-	-		75,969		75,969
Rental income		-		-		-	-		660,917		660,917
Racetrack revenue		155,184		-		172,739	-		-		327,923
Other	_	279,892	_	33,046		135,856	10,507		194,471		653,772
Total revenue		6,599,759		205,945		321,980	637,136		2,128,204		9,893,024
Expenditures - Current											
Administration		1,163,651		_		_	_		223,830		1,387,481
Police department		2,420,067		_		_	_		-		2,420,067
Fire and inspection		393,569		_		_	_		222,017		615.586
Housing operations		-		_		_	_		329,198		329,198
Public works		673,380		_		_	_		,		673,380
Planning, zoning, and inspection		264,918		_		_	_		_		264,918
Building and grounds		483,956		_		_	_		_		483,956
Legislative		14,130		_		_	_		_		14,130
Debt retirement		68,615		_		45,513	_		504.826		618,954
Shared services		285,080		_		-	_		-		285,080
Other expenditures		343,467		115,857		372,471	24,761		53.046		909,602
Street maintenance and repairs	_	-		-			1,027,237		266,862		1,294,099
Total expenditures		6,110,833	_	115,857		417,984	1,051,998		1,599,779		9,296,451
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures		488,926		90,088		(96,004)	(414,862)		528,425		596,573
Other Financing Sources (Uses)											
Transfers in (Note 6)		6,521		-		222,813	660,112		635,404		1,524,850
Transfers out (Note 6)	_	(494,639)	_			(197,977)	(55,000)	_	(777,234)		(1,524,850)
Total other financing sources (uses)	_	(488,118)	_			24,836	605,112	_	(141,830)		
Net Change in Fund Balances		808		90,088		(71,168)	190,250		386,595		596,573
Fund Balances - Beginning of year	_	2,336,688	_	722,324		1,781,836	312,036		3,351,671		8,504,555
Fund Balances - End of year	\$	2,337,496	\$	812,412	\$	1,710,668	\$ 502,286	\$	3,738,266	\$	9,101,128

Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2008

Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds	\$ 596,573
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures; in the statement of activities, these costs are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation	1,938,727
Net income from joint ventures is not recorded in the	
governmental funds, but is recorded in the statement	
of activities	20,862
Special assessment revenues are recorded in the statement of activities when the assessment is set; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end	(163,158)
Grant revenue is recognized in the statement of activities when earned; they are not reported in the funds until collected or collectible within 60 days of year end	80,928
Interest expense reported in the statement of activities that does not use current financial resources and is not reported as expense in the governmental funds	6,181
Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but not in the statement of activities (where it reduces long-term debt)	523,419
Increase in accumulated employee sick and vacation pay, as well as estimated general liability claims, is recorded	, .
when earned in the statement of activities	(46,290)
Internal Service Funds are also included as governmental activities	 49,550
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	\$ 3,006,792

Proprietary Funds Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2008

							Int	ernal Service	
		E	nter	prise Funds			Fund		
			١	Vonmajor					
	۲	1ajor Fund -	Fu	nd - Refuse				Municipal	
	Wat	ter and Sewer	an	d Recycling		Total		Equipment	
Assets									
Current assets:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,999,289	\$	350,299	\$	2,349,588	\$	646,450	
Receivables - Net:									
Customers		430,510		74,323		504,833		-	
Other governmental units		1,982		590		2,572		-	
Other		1,111		-		1,111		-	
Other assets		37,981		-		37,981		18,068	
Total current assets		2,470,873		425,212		2,896,085		664,518	
Noncurrent assets:									
Restricted cash		5,703		_		5,703		-	
Capital assets not being depreciated		30,902		_		30,902		-	
Capital assets - Net		5,985,084		-		5,985,084		608,539	
Total noncurrent assets		6,021,689		=		6,021,689		608,539	
Total assets		8,492,562		425,212		8,917,774		1,273,057	
Liabilities									
Current liabilities:									
Accounts payable		172,765		58,407		231,172		3,800	
Accrued and other liabilities		25,181		206		25,387		1,977	
Current portion of compensated absences		6,651		510		7,161		-	
Current portion of long-term debt		97,632		-		97,632		-	
Total current liabilities		302,229		59,123		361,352		5,777	
Noncurrent liabilities - Compensated absences -									
Net of current portion		24,043		1,844		25,887		12,743	
Total liabilities		326,272		60,967		387,239		18,520	
Total liabilities		320,272	_	00,707		307,237		10,320	
Net Assets									
Investment in capital assets - Net of related debt		5,918,354		-		5,918,354		608,539	
Restricted		5,703		-		5,703		-	
Unrestricted		2,242,233	_	364,245		2,606,478		645,998	
Total net assets	\$	8,166,290	\$	364,245		8,530,535	\$	1,254,537	
Amounts reported for business-type activities in the statement of n	et asse	ts are differen	t bed	cause					
a portion of the Internal Service Fund is allocated to business-typ									
as internal balance)		(ادمر			27,472			
Net assets of business-type activities					\$	8,558,007			
The about of business type activities					<u>*</u>	-,,			

Proprietary Funds Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Year Ended June 30, 2008

							Int	ernal Service
			Ente	erprise Funds	S			Fund
	M	lajor Fund -	١	lonmajor				
	١	Water and	Fui	nd - Refuse				Municipal
		Sewer	and	d Recycling		Total		quipment
Operating Revenue								
Customer charges	\$	2,063,923	\$	422,214	\$	2,486,137	\$	-
Rental income		152,368		-		152,368		418,963
Other revenue		5,842		490		6,332	_	10,056
Total operating revenue		2,222,133		422,704		2,644,837		429,019
Operating Expenses								
General operations and maintenance		1,716,783		365,484		2,082,267		261,233
Depreciation		224,518		-		224,518		134,917
Other expenses		220,666		31,445		252,111		<u>-</u>
Total operating expenses	_	2,161,967		396,929		2,558,896		396,150
Operating Income		60,166		25,775		85,941		32,869
Nonoperating Revenue (Expense)								
Gain on sale of assets		_		=		_		47,498
Investment income		80,726		12,314		93,040		26,791
Interest expense		(10,060)		-		(10,060)		
Total nonoperating revenue	_	70,666		12,314		82,980		74,289
Net Income		130,832		38,089		168,921		107,158
Net Assets - Beginning of year		8,035,458		326,156				1,147,379
Net Assets - End of year	\$	8,166,290	\$	364,245			\$	1,254,537
Amounts reported for business-type activities in the secause a portion of the Internal Service Fund net Enterprise Funds Change in net assets of business-type activities					<u> </u>	9,810 178,731		
Change in her assers of business-type activities					Ψ	170,731		

Proprietary Funds Statement of Cash Flows Year Ended June 30, 2008

							Internal											
		E	nte	rprise Fund	ls		Service Fund											
	Major Fund - Water and Sewer		Fui	Nonmajor Fund - Refuse and Recycling		Fund - Refuse		Fund - Refuse		Fund - Refuse		Fund - Refuse		Fund - Refuse		Total		Municipal quipment
Cash Flows from Operating Activities																		
Receipts from customers	\$	2,145,053	\$	424,068	\$	2,569,121	\$	418,963										
Payments to suppliers		(1,543,744)		(351,928)		(1,895,672)		(150,784)										
Payments to employees		(475,814)		(15,853)		(491,667)		(123,490)										
Other receipts		152,364	_			152,364		10,056										
Net cash provided by operating activities		277,859		56,287		334,146		154,745										
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities																		
Proceeds from sale of assets		_		_		_		60,000										
Purchase of capital assets		(19,670)		_		(19,670)		(303,862)										
Principal and interest paid on capital debt		(102,268)		-		(102,268)		-										
No. 1 No. 1 No. 1 No. 1								,										
Net cash used in capital and related		(121.020)				(121.020)		(2.42.0(2)										
financing activities		(121,938)		-		(121,938)		(243,862)										
Cash Flows from Investing Activities - Interest received																		
on investments		80,729	_	12,314	_	93,043	_	26,790										
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		236,650		68,601		305,251		(62,327)										
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of year		1,768,342	_	281,698	_	2,050,040	_	708,777										
Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of year	\$	2,004,992	\$	350,299	\$	2,355,291	\$	646,450										
Balance Sheet Classification of Cash and Cash Equivalents																		
Cash	\$	1,999,289	\$	350,299	\$	2,349,588	\$	646,450										
Restricted cash		5,703				5,703												
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,004,992	\$	350,299	\$	2,355,291	\$	646,450										
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash				_														
from Operating Activities																		
Operating income	\$	60,166	\$	25,775	\$	85,941	\$	32.869										
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net	Ť	00,100	Ψ	23,773	۳	33,711	Ψ	52,557										
cash from operating activities:																		
Depreciation and amortization		224,518		_		224,518		134,917										
Changes in assets and liabilities:		-,				-,		,										
Receivables		75,287		1,364		76,651		-										
Other assets		(7,444)		· -		(7,444)		(707)										
Accounts payable		(77,141)		29,171		(47,970)		(13,989)										
Accrued and other liabilities		2,473		(23)		2,450		1,655										
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	277,859	\$	56,287	\$	334,146	\$	154,745										

There were no noncash capital, financing, or investing activities during the year.

Fiduciary Funds Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2008

	Private Purpose					
	Trus	t - Allen				
	Terra					
	Fund			ency Funds		
Assets						
Cash and investments	\$	141,725	\$	357,926		
Accounts receivable		-		9,462		
Other assets		-		156,465		
Total assets		141,725	\$	523,853		
Liabilities						
Accounts payable		-	\$	31,175		
Accrued and other liabilities		-		419,482		
Due to other governmental units				73,196		
Total liabilities			<u>\$</u>	523,853		
Net Assets - Held in trust	<u>\$</u>	141,725				

Fiduciary Funds Statement of Changes in Net Assets Year Ended June 30, 2008

	Tr	ate Purpose ust - Allen race Trust Fund
Revenue		
Interest revenue	\$	5,193
Contributions from local units		6,028
Donations		165
Total revenue		11,386
Expenses - Rent subsidies		1,915
Change in Net Assets		9,471
Net Assets - July 1, 2007		132,254
Net Assets - June 30, 2008	\$	141,725

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the City of Northville (the "City") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by the City of Northville:

Reporting Entity

The City of Northville is governed by a City Council of five members consisting of the elected council members and the mayor, who is deemed a member of the council for all purposes. The accompanying financial statements present the City and its component unit, an entity for which the City is considered to be financially accountable. The discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City (see discussion below for description).

Discretely Presented Component Unit - The Downtown Development Authority (DDA) of the City is reported in a separate component unit column to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City. The DDA was created to assist the City in the development of the downtown area. The DDA's governing body, which consists of nine individuals, is approved by the City Council. In addition, the DDA's budget is subject to approval by the City Council. The financial information included in the separate column is condensed. The complete financial statements of the DDA can be obtained from the City Offices at 215 W. Main Street, Northville, Michigan 48167.

Jointly Governed Organizations - Jointly governed organizations are discussed in Note 11.

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities are reported separately from business-type activities. Governmental activities are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues. Business-type activities rely on user fees and charges. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. Program revenues include (I) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items are reported instead as general revenue.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual Enterprise Funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

<u>Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement</u> Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized as soon as it is both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Major revenue types for which receivables are recorded on the current accounting period's balance sheet include property taxes, state-shared revenue, and racetrack breakage. All other revenue items are considered to be available only when cash is received by the City. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, expenditures relating to compensated absences, and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the fiscal period. Major revenue types for which receivables are recorded on the current accounting period's balance sheet include property taxes, state-shared revenue, and racetrack breakage. All other revenue items are considered to be available when cash is received by the City.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund - The General Fund is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Parking Fund - The Parking Fund is a Special Revenue Fund that is used to account for parking credit special assessment revenue and to pay for related public parking expenditures.

Public Improvement Fund - The Public Improvement Fund is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for breakage revenue to the extent that it exceeds the cost of providing police and fire service at the racetrack. The expenditures in this fund are primarily for public improvement projects and related debt expenditures. Most grant activity is also recorded in this fund.

Major Streets Fund - The Major Streets Fund is a Special Revenue Fund that is used to account for the State of Michigan Public Act 51 monies that are used to construct and maintain major road systems.

Water and Sewer Fund - The Water and Sewer Fund is the City's only major proprietary fund. It accounts for the activities of the water distribution system and sewage collection system.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

Internal Service Fund - The Internal Service Fund accounts for shared machinery and equipment purchases and maintenance provided to other departments of the City on a cost reimbursement basis.

Trust and Agency Funds - Fiduciary funds account for the activities of the Allen Terrace Trust Fund, which provides rental subsidies for eligible Allen Terrace residents and funding for capital improvements for Allen Terrace. The Agency Fund accounts for assets held in an agent capacity for other entities.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Private sector standards of accounting issued prior to December 1, 1989 are generally followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with the standards of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow private sector standards issued after November 30, 1989 for its business-type activities.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are charges between the City's water and sewer function and various other functions of the City. Eliminations of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenue and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenue and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenue of the proprietary funds relates to charges to customers for services. Operating expenses for proprietary funds include the cost of services, administrative expenses, and depreciation of capital assets. All revenue and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenue and expenses.

Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets or Equity

Bank Deposits and Investments - Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired. Investments are stated at fair value. Pooled investment income is generally allocated to each fund using a weighted average of balance for the principal.

Receivables and Payables - Outstanding balances between funds are reported as "due to/from other funds." Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year is referred to as "advances to/from other funds." Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and the business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances." All trade receivables are shown net of allowance for uncollectible amounts.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Property Taxes - Property tax receivables are shown as net of allowance for uncollectible amounts. Properties are assessed as of December 31. The related property taxes are billed and become a lien on July I of the following year. These taxes are due without penalty during the period from July I through August 31 with the final collection date of February 28 before they are added to the county tax rolls.

The 2007 taxable valuation of the City totaled \$355 million (net of captured taxable value of \$28 million), on which ad valorem taxes levied consisted of 13.3 mills for the City's operating purposes, 1.7670 mills for street improvements, and 0.1374 mill for public safety debt service. The ad valorem taxes levied raised \$4.7 million for operations, \$625,000 for street, drainage, and sidewalk improvements, and \$48,000 for public safety debt service. These amounts are recognized in the respective General, Special Revenue, and Debt Service Funds financial statements as taxes receivable - current or as tax revenue.

The delinquent real property taxes of the City are purchased by Wayne and Oakland counties. The counties sell tax notes, the proceeds of which are used to pay the City for these property taxes. Both the Wayne County and Oakland County shares of delinquent real property taxes have been recorded as revenue in the current year.

Inventories and Prepaid Items - Inventories are valued at cost, on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventories of governmental funds are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased. Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Restricted Cash - Restricted cash represents both funds held with the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority for insurance claims and with Wayne County for the North Huron Valley/Rouge Valley sewer system grant program. When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available, the City's policy is to first apply restricted resources.

Capital Assets - Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets, are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$1,500 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Roads, sidewalks, and bridges	20-50 years
Wells, water, and sewer distribution systems	30-90 years
Buildings and building improvements	5-50 years
Equipment	3-15 years
Vehicles	2-20 years
Streetscape	3-20 years
Parking system	5-10 years
Land improvements	10-20 years

Compensated Absences (Vacation, Compensatory, and Sick Leave) - It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused sick and vacation pay benefits and accumulate compensatory leave time. After 10 years of service, employees may receive payment of the accumulated sick leave balance at the rate of 50 percent for retirement or 25 percent for other types of termination. A liability is accrued when incurred in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only for employee terminations at year end.

Long-term Obligations - In the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund-type statement of net assets. If applicable, bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Issuance costs are reported as debt service expenditures.

Fund Equity - In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designations of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information - Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for the General Fund and all Special Revenue Funds. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end. The legal level of budgetary control adopted by the City is the activity level.

The budget represents a complete financial plan for all activities of the City for the ensuing fiscal year. All estimated income and proposed expenditures are detailed and presented in a form prescribed by law. In addition, this budget presents the proposed budget as part of a five-year plan to improve the decision-making process.

The budget process begins with goals and objectives meetings in January with the City Council, City manager, and administrative staff. These are public meetings. Based upon presentations by the City staff and discussion between the mayor and City Council, goals and objectives are prioritized by the City Council for the next fiscal year.

Each February, department heads receive workpapers to prepare their individual line item budgets. Upon completion, the departmental budgets are returned to the finance director in March. The City manager and the finance director then analyze these amounts. Further discussions occur with department heads and the budget is adjusted accordingly.

A proposed balanced budget is then developed to support the direction and focus established for the community by the City Council. Specific issues are identified which are vital to continued quality services within the means available. This method of budgeting serves to improve the level of organizational accountability. City Council meetings, held in April and May, provide all interested citizens an open forum where they can be heard. Upon review, and a subsequent public hearing, the City Council adopts the budget by resolution.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability (Continued)

The budget is scheduled for adoption at the second regular City Council meeting in May. The operating millage rate is established as part of the budget adoption resolution at that meeting.

Excess of Expenditures Over Appropriations in Budgeted Funds - The City did not have significant expenditure budget variances except for transfers out.

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States, repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances of United States banks, commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase, obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade, mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan, and investment pools organized under the surplus funds investment pool acts of the State of Michigan. The investment policy adopted by the City Council in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized the City to invest in all vehicles covered by the state statute listed above.

The City's cash and investments are subject to several types of risk, which are examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned to it. The City's policy for custodial credit risk limits bank options to those approved by Council. All banks must supply audited financial statements, proof of state registration, and certification of compliance with the City's investment policy. At year end, the City had \$878,236 of bank deposits (checking and savings accounts in addition to certificates of deposits) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The City believes that due to the dollar amount of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the City evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories. As of June 30, 2008, the City utilized six banks for the deposit of City funds.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk

State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The City's policy is consistent with state law. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities (other than the U.S. government) are as follows:

			Rating
Investment	Fair Value	Rating	Organization
Bank investment pools	\$ 1,360,409	A+	S&P
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Federal National	100,172	AAA	S&P/Moody's
Mortgage Association	500,781	AAA	S&P/Moody's
Federal Home Loan Bank	5,272,406	AAA	S&P/Moody's
Commercial paper	2,965,213	AI, AI + and PI	S&P/Moody's

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of investments will decrease as a result of a rise in interest rates. The City's investment policy does not restrict investment maturities, other than commercial paper which can only be purchased with a 270-day maturity. At year end, the average maturities of investments are as follows:

		Weighted			
		Average			
Investment	Fair Value	<u>Maturity</u>			
Federal Home Loan	\$ 100,172	7 daya			
Mortgage Corporation Federal National	ф 100,172	7 days			
Mortgage Association	500,781	29 days			
Federal Home Loan Bank	5,272,406	417 days			
Commercial paper	2,965,213	57 days			

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Concentration of Credit Risk

It is the City's policy to diversify its investment portfolio with a goal of 5 percent maximum exposure to any one credit risk at time of purchase. This requirement does not apply to investments issued by the U.S. government or its agencies, investments in mutual funds, and external investment pools and other pooled investments. The City's concentration of credit risk is shown below:

Investment	Percent
Federal Home Loan Bank	41%

Component Unit

The component unit's investments are subject to several types of risk. At year end, the carrying amount of the component unit's cash and investments was pooled with City cash and investments. At June 30, 2008, the carrying amount of cash and investments for the component unit was \$498,241. For purposes of risk disclosure, it is not practical to allocate risk between the primary government and the City's component unit. Disclosures related to the overall risk for the City's investments are presented above.

Note 4 - Deferred Revenue

Governmental funds report deferred revenue in connection with receivables for revenue that is not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received but not yet earned. At the end of the current fiscal year, the various components of deferred revenue are as follows:

	U	navailable	U	nearned
Special assessments	\$	527,290	\$	-
Grant revenue		80,928		-
Other miscellaneous deferred revenue				11,469
Total	\$	608,218	\$	11,469

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 5 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity of the primary government was as follows:

	Balance				posals and	Balance		
		uly 1, 2007	_	Additions		Adjustments		ine 30, 2008
Governmental Activities								
Capital assets not being depreciated:								
Land	\$	3,051,946	\$	75,000	\$	-	\$	3,126,946
Works of art		74,785.00		19,000		-		93,785
Construction in progress		469,861	_	42,333		329,423	_	182,771
Subtotal		3,596,592		136,333		329,423		3,403,502
Capital assets being depreciated:								
Roads, sidewalks, and bridges		22,980,086		1,150,871		55,269		24,075,688
Buildings and improvements		10,270,348		68,180		19,980		10,318,548
Parking system		4,849,293		22,900		-		4,872,193
Streetscape		332,245		1,866,444		20,912		2,177,777
Vehicles		2,224,100		318,974		350,611		2,192,463
Equipment		1,607,523	_	186,350		41,152	_	1,752,721
Subtotal		42,263,595		3,613,719		487,924		45,389,390
Accumulated depreciation:								
Roads, sidewalks, and bridges		14,123,934		425,242		45,121		14,504,055
Buildings and improvements		3,838,154		416,416		22,742		4,231,828
Parking system		1,295,674		107,093		-		1,402,767
Streetscape		128,979		64,272		20,912		172,339
Vehicles		1,588,989		162,028		320,058		1,430,959
Equipment		1,121,666	_	151,197		32,396	_	1,240,467
Subtotal		22,097,396		1,326,248		441,229		22,982,415
Net capital assets being depreciated		20,166,199	_	2,287,471		46,695		22,406,975
Net capital assets	\$	23,762,791	\$	2,423,804	\$	376,118	\$	25,810,477

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 5 - Capital Assets (Continued)

	Balance July 1, 2007	Additions	Disposals and Adjustments	Balance June 30, 2008
Business-type Activities			-	
Capital assets not being depreciated -				
Construction in progress	\$ 11,231	\$ 19,671	\$ -	\$ 30,902
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	21,535	-	-	21,535
Water and sewer distribution				
system	10,386,763			10,386,763
Subtotal	10,408,298	-	-	10,408,298
Accumulated depreciation:				
Buildings	21,535	-	-	21,535
Water and sewer distribution				
system	4,177,161	224,518		4,401,679
Subtotal	4,198,696	224,518		4,423,214
Net capital assets being depreciated	6,209,602	(224,518)		5,985,084
Net capital assets	\$ 6,220,833	\$ (204,847)	\$ -	\$ 6,015,986

Depreciation expense was charged to programs of the primary government as follows:

Governmental activities:

General government	\$	233,403
Public safety		154,028
Public works		597,813
Recreation and culture		23,862
Senior housing		182,225
Internal Service Fund depreciation is charged to the various functions based on their usage of the asset		134,917
Total governmental activities	\$	1,326,248
Business-type activities - Water and sewer		224,518

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 5 - Capital Assets (Continued)

Construction Commitments - The City has active construction projects at year end. The City's commitments with contractors at June 30, 2008 are as follows:

			R	emaining	
	Spe	Commitmen			
Beal Street Bridge reconstruction	\$	75,902	\$	71,403	
E. Main Sewer relocation		30,902		88,283	
Marquis parking lot improvements		11,000		18,860	
Total	\$	117,804	\$	178,546	

Note 6 - Interfund Transfers

Interfund operating transfers for the current year are as follows:

Transfers From (Out)	Transfers To (In)			Amount
General Fund	Public Improvement Fund	(1)	\$	222,813
General Fund	Other nonmajor governmental funds	(1)	_	271,826
	Total			494,639
Major Streets	Other nonmajor governmental funds	(2)		55,000
Public Improvement Fund	General Fund	(2)		6,521
Public Improvement Fund	Major Streets Fund	(2)		34,261
Public Improvement Fund	Other nonmajor governmental funds	(2)		157,195
	Total			197,977
Other nonmajor governmental funds	Major Streets Fund	(2)		625,851
Other nonmajor governmental funds	Other nonmajor governmental funds	(2)		151,383
	Total			777,234
	Total transfers		\$	1,524,850

⁽I) The transfers from the General Fund to the nonmajor funds and the Public Improvement Fund are to support the operations of those funds.

⁽²⁾ Transfers between the various funds represent payment from one fund to another without an equivalent return of goods or services to fund operations and projects accounted for in the respective funds.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 7 - Long-term Debt

The City issues bonds to provide for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities. General obligation bonds are direct obligations and pledge the full faith and credit of the City. County contractual agreements and installment purchase agreements are also general obligations of the government.

Long-term obligation activity is summarized as follows:

	Interest Rate	Principal Matures	_	Beginning Balance		0 0		0 0		0 0		0 0		0 0		0 0		0 0		0 0		Additions Reductions		eductions	Ending Balance			ue Within One Year
Governmental activities:																												
General obligation bonds: Parking deck bonds	5.60%	2009	\$	755,000	\$	_	\$	365,000	\$	390,000	\$	390,000																
Allen Terrace renovation bonds	3.1%-4.875%	2022		1,175,000		-		55,000		1,120,000		55,000																
Public safety bonds	4.70%-5.00%	2011		210,000		-		40,000		170,000		40,000																
Installment purchase agreements	3.45%-6.50%	2009	_	68,188			_	63,420	_	4,768	_	4,768																
Total governmental activities			\$	2,208,188	\$		\$	523,420	\$	1,684,768	\$	489,768																
Business-type activities - General																												
obligation bonds - County contracts	5.50%-6.00%	2009	\$	189,840	\$		\$	92,208	\$	97,632	\$	97,632																

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the above obligations are as follows:

	Governmental Activities					Business-type Activities							
		Principal		Interest		Total		Principal	Interest			Total	
2009	\$	489,768	\$	64,663	\$	554,431	\$	97,632	\$	5,858	\$	103,490	
2010		95,000		50,000		145,000		-		-		-	
2011		105,000		45,980		150,980		-		-		-	
2012		105,000		41,577		146,577		-		-		-	
2013		65,000		38,028		103,028		-		-		-	
2014-2018		365,000		147,703		512,703		-		-		-	
2019-2023		460,000		56,249		516,249		-		-		-	
Total	\$	1,684,768	\$	444,200	\$	2,128,968	\$	97,632	\$	5,858	\$	103,490	

Component Unit - Long-term debt of the component unit consists of one loan owed to the General Fund in the amount of \$300,000 maturing through 2018 and bearing interest at a rate of 5.5 percent. At year end, the remaining principal balance was \$300,000.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 8 - Restricted Cash

The balances of the restricted cash accounts are as follows:

	Gov 	Business-type Activities		
Funds held for insurance claims Debt service	\$	189,707 1,532	\$	-
Sewer system grant program				5,703
Total restricted assets	<u>\$</u>	191,239	\$	5,703

Note 9 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Benefits

Plan Description - The City participates in the Municipal Employees' Retirement System of Michigan (MERS), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers regular employees of the City hired prior to certain dates. All divisions were closed in a previous year. The MERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. The MERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the MERS. That report may be obtained by writing to the MERS at 1134 Municipal Way, Lansing, MI 48917.

Funding Policy - The obligation to contribute to and maintain the MERS for these employees was established by negotiation with the City's competitive bargaining units. The labor agreement with the City's two police unions requires an employee contribution of 2.65 percent for plan-eligible employees.

Annual Pension Costs - For the year ended June 30, 2008, the City's annual pension cost of \$541,632 for the plan was equal to the required and actual contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at December 31, 2006, using the entry actual age method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) an 8 percent investment rate of return; (b) projected salary increases of 4.5 percent to 12.9 percent per year including step increases; and (c) no postretirement benefit increases. The actuarial value of assets is determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized over 18 to 26 years, depending on the length of time that the employee division has been closed. The amortization will continue to decrease by two years per year until a minimum of five-year amortization is attained.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 9 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

Three-year trend information is as follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30									
		2006		2007		2008				
Annual pension costs (APC) Percentage of APC contributed		\$ 524,72 100°		586,128 100%		541,632 100%				
Net pension obligation		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-				
	Actuarial Valuation as of December 31									
		2005		2006	2007					
Actuarial value of assets Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$	10,287,251	\$	10,914,256	\$	11,291,010				
(entry age)	\$	15,561,301	\$	16,511,320	\$	16,912,905				
Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	\$	5,274,050	\$	5,597,064	\$	5,621,895				
Funded ratio		66%	,	66%		67%				
Covered payroll	\$	2,149,100	\$	1,982,054	\$	1,878,443				
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll		245%	,	282%		299%				

Defined Contribution Pension Plan

The City provides pension benefits through a defined contribution plan to its regular employees hired after various dates beginning in 1997. In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. Employees are eligible to participate from the date of employment. As established by City Council action, the City contributes 10 percent of employees' gross earnings for regular nonunion, clerical, and public works employees, 11 percent for each eligible police patrol and command employee, and 5 percent for each eligible part-time employee. There is a 3 percent employee contribution for patrol and command employees. The City's contribution plus investment earnings is fully vested by the employee after seven years of service.

The City's total payroll during the current year was \$4,393,402. The current year contribution was calculated based on covered payroll of \$1,471,568, resulting in an employer contribution of \$144,038.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 10 - Other Postemployment Benefits

The City provides postemployment health benefits to certain retirees and their beneficiaries. The government pays the full cost of insurance premiums for those retired prior to April I, 1997. Since then, a graduated postemployment healthcare vesting schedule applies for eligible employees with a minimum of 10 years of service. Currently, 37 retirees are eligible for postemployment health benefits. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the City made payments for postemployment health benefit premiums and related plan costs of \$651,272. The government obtains healthcare coverage through private insurers.

The City utilizes the MERS Health Care Savings Plan Trust Fund, established under Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code, to pre-fund future retiree healthcare benefits. Given that the Trust Fund holds the assets of the plan, those assets are not reported in the City's basic financial statements. The City made a contribution of \$482,745 to the fund in the current year.

Upcoming Reporting Change - The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has recently released Statement Number 45, *Accounting and Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions*. The new pronouncement provides guidance for local units of government in recognizing the cost of retiree health care, as well as any "other" postemployment benefits (other than pensions). The new rules will cause the government-wide financial statements to recognize the cost of providing retiree healthcare coverage over the working life of the employee, rather than at the time the healthcare premiums are paid. The new pronouncement is effective for the year ending June 30, 2009.

Note II - Jointly Governed Organizations

The City participates in the Northville Parks and Recreation Commission (the "Recreation Commission") as a joint venture with the Charter Township of Northville. The City is represented by the mayor or mayor pro tem and one other member of the City Council. Approval of the annual budget is required by the City and the Charter Township of Northville. The Recreation Commission has been subsidized to the extent that expenditures exceed operating revenue. At June 30, 2008, the investment in the Recreation Commission was \$491,234. During the current year, the City contributed \$265,089 for the operations of the Recreation Commission. The City is unaware of any circumstances that would cause an additional benefit or burden to the participating governments in the near future. Complete financial statements for the Recreation Commission can be obtained from the City offices at 215 W. Main Street, Northville, Michigan 48167.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note II - Jointly Governed Organizations (Continued)

The City participates in the Northville Youth Assistance Commission (the "Commission") as a joint venture with the Charter Township of Northville and Northville Public Schools. The City appoints three members to the governing board of the Commission. Approval of the annual budget is required by the City and the Charter Township of Northville. At June 30, 2008, the investment in the Commission was \$37,646. During the current year, the City contributed \$19,991 for the operations of the Commission. The City is unaware of any circumstances that would cause an additional benefit or burden to the participating governments in the near future. Complete financial statements for the Commission can be obtained from the Charter Township of Northville at 44405 Six Mile Road, Northville, Michigan 48167.

The City is a member of the 35th District Court System, which provides judicial services to the City and various other surrounding communities. The City appoints one member to the joint venture's board, which then approves the annual budget, and one member to the related Building Authority board. At June 30, 2008, the investment in the Court System was \$161,051.

Note 12 - Fund Balance Designations

The City has designated fund balance in the General Fund as follows:

Designated fund balance - Designated for:

Drug Awareness Resistance Education (DARE) donations	\$ 742
Criminal forfeitures	8,889
State and DARE drug forfeitures	 762
Total designated fund balance - General Fund	\$ 10,393

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 12 - Fund Balance Designations (Continued)

The City has designated fund balance in the Special Revenue Funds as follows:

Designated fund balance - Designated for:	
Beal Street Bridge	\$ 26,000
Certified local government project	1,167
City Hall capital maintenance plan	76,279
Contingency/Grant match	40,793
Downtown cut-thru	258,610
Emergency warning siren activation	8,000
Install new sidewalks	8,813
Internal loan program	99,291
Mill Pond restoration	80,000
Nonmotorized improvements	51,893
Police training equipment	5,350
Post Office expansion	5,000
Projects under consideration	
(fiscal year 2008 breakage to be designated)	57,739
Randolph Drain assessment	80,000
Special projects	327,611
Taft Road lighting and landscaping	40,739
VICMIC microphones for police department	 8,400
Total designated fund balance - Special	
Revenue Funds	\$ 1,175,685

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 13 - Construction Code Fees

The City oversees building construction, in accordance with the State's Construction Code Act, including inspection of building construction and renovation to ensure compliance with the building codes. The City charges fees for these services. Beginning January I, 2000, the law requires that collection of these fees be used only for construction code costs, including an allocation of estimated overhead costs. A summary of the activity is as follows:

Cumulative shortfall - July 1, 2007		\$ (285,106)
Building permit revenue Related expenditures:	\$ 114,238	
Direct costs	132,076	
Estimated indirect costs	 95,350	
Total construction code expenditures	227,426	
Shortfall - Current year		 (113,188)
Cumulative shortfall - June 30, 2008		\$ (398,294)

Note 14 - Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The City participates in the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority (the "Authority") for claims relating to property loss, torts, and errors and omissions. The City also participates in the Michigan Municipal League (MML) risk pool for employee injuries. The City purchases commercial insurance for all medical benefits and for firefighter death and disability coverage. Settled claims related to the commercial insurance have not exceeded the amount of insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority's risk pool program operates as a claims servicing pool for amounts up to member retention limits, and operates as a common risk-sharing management program for losses in excess of member retention amounts. Although annual premiums are paid to the Authority, these amounts are used to pay claims up to the retention limits. The ultimate liability for claims remains with the City. A portion of the excess insurance coverage is underwritten by the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority itself.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2008

Note 14 - Risk Management (Continued)

The City estimates the liability for general liability claims that have been incurred through the end of the fiscal year, including claims that have been reported as well as claims that may have been incurred but not reported. During the year ended June 30, 2008, there were no uninsured claims paid by the City and no significant outstanding balances at year end.

The Michigan Municipal League workers' compensation risk pool program operates as a common risk-sharing management program for local units of government in Michigan; member premiums are used to purchase commercial excess insurance coverage and to pay member claims in excess of deductible amounts.

Note 15 - Employee Benefits

The City of Northville is the employer of record for the Northville Parks and Recreation Commission and the DDA. Accordingly, the employees of those entities participate in the City's employee benefit programs and policies and are pooled with all other City employees for benefits administration. The City charges the Commission and DDA for their pro rata share of employee fringe benefit costs in the same manner as City departments are charged for fringe benefits. To the extent applicable, the Commission and DDA contribute a pro rata share of the amortization of unfunded actuarial liability for the defined benefit pension plan and postretirement benefit plan.

The Commission and DDA reimbursed the City \$471,357 and \$36,555, respectively, for all fringe benefit expenditures during the year ended June 30, 2008.



Required Supplemental Information Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund Year Ended June 30, 2008

Resources (Inflows) Property taxes 4,842,801 4,842,910 4,806,297 (36,613) Licenses and permits 280,936 256,985 263,280 6,295 State-shared and grant revenue 570,152 588,583 600,483 11,900 Sales and services 125,389 128,927 129,334 407 Charges for services 194,210 194,210 192,277 (1,933) Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) Ceneral government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130	Fund Balance - Beginning of year		Original Budget 2,336,688	<u> </u>	Amended Budget 2,336,688	<u> </u>	Actual 2,336,688		riance from Amended Budget
Property taxes 4,842,801 4,842,910 4,806,297 (36,613) Licenses and permits 280,936 256,985 263,280 6,295 State-shared and grant revenue 570,152 588,583 600,483 11,900 Sales and services 125,389 128,927 129,334 407 Charges for services 194,210 192,277 (1,933) Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100		•	_,,	•	_,,,,,,,,,	Τ.	_,,,,,,,,,	•	
Licenses and permits 280,936 256,985 263,280 6,295 State-shared and grant revenue 570,152 588,583 600,483 11,900 Sales and services 125,389 128,927 129,334 407 Charges for services 194,210 194,210 192,277 (1,933) Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) 6,780,208 6,641,851 6,606,280 (35,571) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		4 842 801		4 842 910		4 806 297		(36.613)
State-shared and grant revenue 570,152 588,583 600,483 11,900 Sales and services 125,389 128,927 129,334 407 Charges for services 194,210 194,210 192,277 (1,933) Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services<	• •								` ,
Sales and services 125,389 128,927 129,334 407 Charges for services 194,210 194,210 192,277 (1,933) Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City amanager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 29	•								
Charges for services 194,210 194,210 192,277 (1,933) Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300<	<u> </u>								
Fines and forfeitures 87,330 80,700 97,861 17,161 Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planing, zoning, and inspection <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>									
Racetrack breakage 182,045 181,880 155,184 (26,696) Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762<	_								,
Other 291,305 282,449 279,892 (2,557) Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2			,				,		
Transfer from other funds and component units 206,040 85,207 81,672 (3,535) Total resources (inflows) 6,780,208 6,641,851 6,606,280 (35,571) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 <	<u> </u>								,
Total resources (inflows) 6,780,208 6,641,851 6,606,280 (35,571) Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)									
General government: City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	·		6,780,208		6,641,851		6,606,280		(35,571)
City Council 16,205 16,505 14,130 2,375 City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	• ,								
City manager 249,170 257,800 256,927 873 Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	3		14 205		14 505		14 120		2 275
Clerk - Elections 60,656 43,181 33,100 10,081 City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	•								
City clerk 207,845 211,685 202,871 8,814 Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 1,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	·								
Finance and administrative services 294,745 302,395 300,786 I,609 Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)									
Taxation 236,960 253,320 245,554 7,766 City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	•								
City attorney 123,300 131,300 124,413 6,887 Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)									
Planning, zoning, and inspection 243,590 265,680 264,918 762 Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)									
Public safety: Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	•						,		,
Police track services 152,215 150,550 125,644 24,906 Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)			,				20 1,1 10		
Police department 2,614,163 2,366,405 2,294,423 71,982 Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)	,		152.215		150.550		125.644		24.906
Fire department 340,225 376,488 378,135 (1,647)									
,									
:-,= :-,: !=,!=! \=! !,	•		13,280		15,160		15,434		(274)

Required Supplemental Information Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2008

		Original Budget	Amended Budget				A	iance from Amended Budget
Charges to Appropriations (Outflows)								
(Continued)								
Public works:								
Civic decorations	\$	40,955	\$	44,105	\$	40,439	\$	3,666
Fall leaf pickup		53,800		56,480		56,473		7
Street lighting and miscellaneous public								
works		195,530		187,135		186,756		379
Parking system		136,385		169,245		171,987		(2,742)
Public works administration		210,828		215,575		217,725		(2,150)
Buildings and grounds:								
Cemetery maintenance		167,320		151,325		157, 4 95		(6,170)
City Hall buildings and grounds		197,420		183,870		172,959		10,911
Mill Race Village maintenance		22,265		22,790		22,132		658
City property - Other		61,750		100,425		114,620		(14,195)
Parks and playgrounds		9,800		7,660		2,554		5,106
Tree maintenance		29,800		19,975		14,196		5,779
Debt retirement		68,022		68,022		68,615		(593)
Shared services		280,886		285,080		285,080		-
Other expenditures:								
Technology costs		233,200		257,663		246,674		10,989
Insurance, bonds, and employee reserve		73,210		70,103		70,102		1
Central supply		31,473		26,847		26,691		156
Transfers to other funds		226,277		351,640		494,639		(142,999)
Unallocated reserve	_	160,679		5,188				5,188
Total charges to appropriations								
(outflows)		6,751,954		6,613,597		6,605,472		8,125
Fund Balance - End of year	<u>\$ 2</u>	2,364,942	\$	2,364,942	\$ 2	2,337,496	\$	(27,446)

Required Supplemental Information Budgetary Comparison Schedule Major Special Revenue Funds Year Ended June 30, 2008

	 Original Budget	Amended Budget			Actual	riance from Amended Budget
Parking Fund						
Fund Balance - Beginning of year	\$ 722,324	\$	722,324	\$	722,324	\$ -
Resources (Inflows) - Other revenue						
Special assessments	144,068		277,317		172,899	(104,418)
Other revenue	 27,190		31,190		33,046	 1,856
Total resources (inflows)	171,258		308,507		205,945	(102,562)
Charges to Appropriations (Outflows) -						
Other expenditures	 144,841		519,107	_	115,857	403,250
Fund Balance - End of year	\$ 748,741	<u>\$</u>	511,724	\$	812,412	\$ 300,688
Public Improvement Fund Fund Balance - Beginning of year	\$ 1,781,836	\$	1,781,836	\$	1,781,836	\$ _
Resources (Inflows)						
Special assessment	8,108		8,108		8,885	777
Racetrack breakage	-		115,303		172,739	57,436
Other revenue	128,891		136,627		135,856	(771)
Transfers in from other funds and	70.014		04.214		227.212	142.000
component unit	 79,814		84,314		227,313	 142,999
Total resources (inflows)	216,813		344,352		544,793	200,441
Charges to Appropriations (Outflows)						
Debt retirement	45,514		45,514		45,513	I
Other expenditures	114,041		390,329		372, 4 71	17,858
Transfers to other funds and						
component unit	 121,288		320,281	_	197,977	 122,304
Total charges to appropriations						
(outflows)	 280,843		756,124		615,961	 140,163
Fund Balance - End of year	\$ 1,717,806	\$	1,370,064	\$	1,710,668	\$ 340,604

Budgetary Comparison Schedule Major Special Revenue Funds (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2008

						Vai	riance from
	Original	A	Amended			A	Amended
	Budget		Budget		Actual		Budget
Major Streets Fund							
Fund Balance - Beginning of year	\$ 312,036	\$	312,036	\$	312,036	\$	-
Resources (Inflows)							
Federal sources	435,000		787,078		285,039		(502,039)
State-shared and grant revenue	261,502		254,158		338,778		84,620
Local contributions	-		22,000		2,812		(19,188)
Other	10,970		10,750		10,507		(243)
Transfer from other funds and component units	 465,000		866,678		660,112		(206,566)
Total resources (inflows)	1,172,472		1,940,664		1,297,248		(643,416)
Charges to Appropriations (Outflows)							
Street maintenance and repairs	1,065,765		1,834,446		1,027,237		807,209
Other	26,335		25,955		24,761		1,194
General government - Transfers to other funds	 55,000	_	55,000	_	55,000	_	
Total charges to appropriations							
(outflows)	 1,147,100	_	1,915,401	_	1,106,998	_	808,403
Fund Balance - End of year	\$ 337,408	\$	337,299	\$	502,286	\$	164,987

Other Supplemental Information

					Noi	nmajor Speci	al Re	venue Funds		
	Street	, Drainage,							Eı	mployees'
	and	Sidewalk				Housing			Ac	cumulated
	lmpi	rovement	Lo	cal Streets	C	ommission	C	Cemetery	Coi	mpensation
Assets										
Cash and investments	\$	975,427	\$	112,499	\$	444,221	\$	721,676	\$	462,619
Restricted cash		-		-		-		-		-
Receivables - Net:										
Other government units		20,716		17,249		6,000		-		-
Assessments		-		-		-		-		-
Other		-		-		-		-		-
Other assets						155				
Total assets	\$	996,143	<u>\$</u>	129,748	\$	450,376	<u>\$</u>	721,676	\$	462,619
Liabilities and Fund Balances										
Liabilities										
Accounts payable	\$	227	\$	1,278	\$	7,624	\$	145	\$	89
Accrued and other liabilities		-		3,064		6,747		-		-
Deferred revenue						11,469				-
Total liabilities		227		4,342		25,840		145		89
Fund Balances										
Reserved for cemetery trust		-		-		-		721,531		-
Unreserved		995,916		125,406		424,536				462,530
Total fund balances		995,916		125,406		424,536		721,531		462,530
Total liabilities and										
fund balances	\$	996,143	\$	129,748	\$	450,376	\$	721,676	\$	462,619

Other Supplemental Information Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2008

	•	or Debt Funds	•	apital Projects ınd	
Insurance Retention Fund	Parking Deck	2002 Debt Retirement Fund	Fire Equipment and Replacement	Police Equipment and Replacement	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
\$ - 189,707	\$ 268,587 221	\$ - 95	\$ 466,099 -	\$ 34,927	\$ 3,486,055 190,023
- - -	- 27,882 - -	- - - 45,529	- - -	- 7,500 	43,965 27,882 7,500 45,684
\$ 189,707	\$ 296,690	\$ 45,624	\$ 466,099	\$ 42,427	\$ 3,801,109
\$ - 27,853 	\$ 55 - -	\$ - - -	\$ 72 - -	\$ 4,220 - -	\$ 13,710 37,664 11,469
27,853	55	-	72	4,220	62,843
- 161,854	296,635	45,624	466,027	38,207	721,531 3,016,735
161,854	296,635	45,624	466,027	38,207	3,738,266
\$ 189,707	\$ 296,690	\$ 45,624	\$ 466,099	\$ 42,427	\$ 3,801,109

Revenue Property taxes Special assessments Federal sources State-shared and grant revenue Local contributions Sales and services Michigan Housing Authority subsidie Rental income Contributions from other funds Other Total revenue Expenditures Administration Current capital outlay Street maintenance and repairs				N	lonn	najor Specia	l Re	venue Fund	s	
	Stree	et, Drainage,							Eı	mployees'
		d Sidewalk		Local		Housing			Ac	cumulated
	lmp	provement		Streets	C	ommission	C	Cemetery	Co	mpensation
Revenue								,		
	\$	624,680	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
· · ·	*	-	Ψ.	_	*	_	Ψ.	_	Ψ.	_
•		_		_		6,000		_		_
		_		117,776		_		_		_
_		_		-		_		_		20,715
		_		_		4,799		31,485		
		_		_		75,969		-		_
		_		_		660,917		_		_
		_		_		-		_		_
		54,018		3,679	_	20,463	_	28,986		18,119
Total revenue		678,698		121,455		768,148		60,471		38,834
Expenditures										
Administration		-		16,516		207,314		_		-
Current capital outlay		-		-		-		_		-
• •		-		266,862		-		_		-
Housing operations		-		-		329,198		_		-
Other expenditures		56,100		_		9,329		_		-
Debt service		<u>-</u>				1,022			_	
Total expenditures		56,100		283,378		546,863				
Excess of Revenue Over (Under)										
Expenditures		622,598		(161,923)		221,285		60,471		38,834
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Transfers in		23,028		163,072		18,598		-		_
Transfers out		(674,030)	_			(103,204)				
Total other financing										
sources (uses)		(651,002)		163,072	_	(84,606)			_	
Net Change in Fund Balances		(28,404)		1,149		136,679		60,471		38,834
Fund Balances - Beginning of year		1,024,320	_	124,257	_	287,857		661,060		423,696
Fund Balances - End of year	\$	995,916	\$	125,406	<u>\$</u>	424,536	\$	721,531	\$	462,530

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds Year Ended June 30, 2008

	Nonmaj	or Debt			
	Service	Funds	Nonmajor Capit	al Projects Funds	
					Total Nonmajor
Insurance		2002 Debt	Fire Equipment	Police Equipment	Governmental
Retention Fund	Parking Deck	Retirement Fund	and Replacement	and Replacement	Funds
	•				
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 624,680
-	31,005	<u>-</u>	-	-	31,005
-	-	-	-	-	6,000
-	-	-	-	7,500	125,276
-	302,887	-	-	-	323,602
-	-	-	-	-	36,284
-	-	-	-	-	75,969
-	-	-	-	-	660,917
50,000	-	-	-	-	50,000
30,288	13,570	4	15,125	10,219	194,471
80,288	347,462	4	15,125	17,719	2,128,204
-	-	-	-	-	223,830
-	-	-	25,627	196,390	222,017
-	-	-	-	-	266,862
-	-	-	-	-	329,198
(12,383)	-	-	-	-	53,046
	397,460	106,344			504,826
(12,383)	397,460	106,344	25,627	196,390	1,599,779
92,671	(49,998)	(106,340)	(10,502)	(178,671)	528,425
_	_	103,204	110,624	216,878	635,404
			-	-	(777,234)
		103,204	110,624	216,878	(141,830)

(3,136)

48,760

45,624 \$

92,671

69,183

161,854 \$

(49,998)

<u>346,6</u>33

296,635 \$

100,122

365,905

466,027 \$

38,207

38,207 \$

386,595

3,351,671

3,738,266

Other Supplemental Information Combining Statement of Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds Fiduciary Funds June 30, 2008

	Agency Funds								
		Payroll		Agency		Total			
Assets									
Cash and investments	\$	283,677	\$	74,249	\$	357,926			
Accounts receivable		9,042		420		9,462			
Other assets		156,465				156,465			
Total assets	<u>\$</u>	449,184	\$	74,669	\$	523,853			
Liabilities									
Accounts payable	\$	30,645	\$	530	\$	31,175			
Accrued and other liabilities		411,445		7,937		419,382			
Due to other governmental units		7,094		66,202		73,296			
Total liabilities	\$	449,184	\$	74,669	\$	523,853			

Report to the City Council June 30, 2008

Plante & Moran, PLLC



27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

September 26, 2008

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Northville, Michigan

We have recently completed our audit of the basic financial statements of City of Northville, Michigan (the "City") for the year ended June 30, 2008. In addition to our audit report, we are providing the following letter of required audit communication and informational comments which impact the City:

	Page
Results of the Audit	1-3
Informational - Legislative Matters	4-9
Attachment I	10

We are grateful for the opportunity to be of service to the City of Northville, Michigan. We especially want to thank Nickie Bateson and her team who did a tremendous job of completing the numerous new forms and questionnaires for the audit due to the new auditing standards in effect for this year. This took a significant amount of time during an already busy part of their year. It is probably no surprise that these forms and questionnaires completed by the City's finance department were some of the most thorough that we have received.

Should you have any questions regarding the comments in this report, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,

Plante & Moran, PLLC

Christopher S. Jones

Spristin S. Bunt

Kristin L. Hunt





27400 Northwestern Highway
P.O. Box 307
Southfield, MI 48037-0307
Tel: 248.352.2500
Fax: 248.352.0018
plantemoran.com

Results of the Audit

To the Mayor and Members of City Council
City of Northville, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of City of Northville, Michigan (the "City") for the year ended June 30, 2008 and have issued our report thereon dated September 26, 2008. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility Under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated August 22, 2008, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We are responsible for planning and performing the audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement. As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of the City of Northville. Our consideration of internal control was solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

We are responsible for communicating significant matters related to the audit that are, in our professional judgment, relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures specifically to identify such matters and our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our meeting about planning matters on August 28, 2008.

Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by City of Northville are described in Note I to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended June 30, 2008.

To the Mayor and Members of City Council
City of Northville, Michigan

We noted no transactions entered into by the City during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. We noted no estimates that we would consider particularly sensitive in your financial statements.

The disclosures in the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosures affecting the financial statements were Note 3 related to deposits and investments, Note 7 related to long-term debt, and Note 14 related to risk management.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The attached schedule summarizes one uncorrected misstatement of the financial statements. Management has determined that the effect is immaterial to the financial statements taken as a whole. This reclassification has a zero dollar impact on the financial statements. Management's position is that the classification was done for consistency with the budget document.

Disagreements with Management

For the purpose of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management's Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated September 26, 2008.

To the Mayor and Members of City Council
City of Northville, Michigan

Management's Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the City's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

In the normal course of our professional association with the City, we generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, business conditions affecting the City, and business plans and strategies that may affect the risks of material misstatement. None of the matters discussed resulted in a condition of our retention as the City's auditors.

During our audit, there were two internal control matters we noted and have discussed with management.

This information is intended solely for the use of members of City Council and management of the City of Northville and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Plante & Moran, PLLC

September 26, 2008



Revenue Considerations

Local communities are facing difficult financial times as you are well aware. Given many economic and regulatory constraints, it is difficult for many communities to increase revenues. This is particularly true of more mature communities such as Northville. One strategy to consider for increasing revenues to allow the same high level of service to be provided is to expand the use of special assessments. For example, some communities provide special assessments for street lighting and other services. There are many other examples to consider as well. We are available to discuss with you strategies and options that we have observed through our extensive experience with local government units if you would like.

Property Tax Developments

The front page story several months ago is now old news. For many communities in Michigan, the challenging real estate market will negatively change the taxable value trends of recent years. Many communities saw modest declines in their 2008 taxable values, and if the downward trend in the housing market continues, the impact will be larger next year. How it will actually play out in each community and over what period of time remains to be seen. While each community will need to carefully determine the impact of the current environment on its budget, there are also several pieces of legislation in Lansing that will impact property taxes going forward. Examples include:

- House Bill 4215 (Public Act 96 of 2008) allows property owners to obtain two principal residence exemptions in certain situations. The bill was designed for situations where a homeowner has purchased a new home and is unable to sell the existing home. The dual exemption only applies if certain conditions are met (i.e., the property previously occupied is for sale, not occupied, not leased or available for lease, etc.).
- A series of bills were introduced in March 2007 as part of a package to stimulate home sales (House Bills 4440, 4441, and 4442). The lead bill of that package, House Bill 4440, establishes an 18-month moratorium on the "pop-up" or "uncapping" of taxable value to state equalized value at the time of sale or transfer of a property. Property sales or transfers occurring in the timeframe of the moratorium would continue to pay property taxes at the previous taxable value amount. The "pop-up" or "uncapping" of taxable value would be delayed until the property was sold or transferred in later years. House Bill 4440 actually passed the House in March 2007 and is currently in the Michigan Senate.
- Many property owners continue to struggle with the concept that their individual taxable values actually increased during a time that overall property values and even their individual property values have fallen. As we all have relearned in recent months, that is a constitutional requirement that changed with Proposal A in 1994. It may be helpful to remember the principle behind Proposal A its purpose was to disconnect taxable values from market value increases, and instead limit the growth in taxable values to the lesser of 5 percent or inflation, until that point that the property transfers ownership. Now that the market values are declining in many areas, Proposal A continues to stay disconnected, and allows the taxable value to increase by the lesser of 5 percent or inflation (up until the point that it reconnects with market values)

Fairly or not, this year, many property owners said it did not feel right when they saw their taxable value increase by inflation when market value did not. This has led to a discussion as to whether a third variable, called "change in market value," needs to be added to the Proposal A formula. In what some are calling a "super cap," the Proposal A formula to determine annual increases in taxable value (if property is not sold or transferred) would be the lesser of three components: inflation, change in market value, or 5 percent. Therefore, if the market value of the parcel was either flat or declining - even if the taxable value of the particular parcel was less than state equalized value - there would be no annual increase. To date, a proposal to accomplish this change has not moved through the legislature. A change of this nature would impact local government budgets.

- As part of the changes to the single business tax last year and the introduction of the Michigan business tax, changes were also made to the calculation of tax rates applicable to industrial and commercial personal property taxes. As advertised, industrial personal property taxpayers received a reduction of the school operating mills (up to 18 mills) and the 6 mill state education tax. Commercial personal property taxpayers received a reduction of up to 12 school operating mills. However, if your community has a school district with "hold harmless" school mills, you must add back any hold harmless millage prior to computing the total mills to be levied. This may generate questions from commercial and industrial taxpayers.
- A Michigan Supreme Court case has changed how local governments can treat public service improvements by developers. Leading up to the court case, as private property owners or developers installed public service improvements (i.e., such as street lights, water and sewer lines, etc.) there was normally an increase in their property tax assessment. The Michigan Supreme Court upheld a Court of Appeals ruling that the installation of public service improvements does not constitute a taxable addition.

State-shared Revenue

The governor released her proposal of the State's fiscal year 2009 budget (for the year ending September 30, 2009) originally in February 2008. Over the course of budget deliberations in the spring and early summer, the legislature further debated the level of the revenue sharing funding, resulting in a compromise by the Senate and House to fund revenue sharing equal to the projected fiscal year 2008 (fiscal year ended September 30, 2008) amounts, plus provide an increase of 2 percent of the statutory portion of revenue sharing received in fiscal year 2007.

Here is a summary (in millions of dollars) of the revenue-sharing budget submitted to the governor:

	FY 2007		FY 2008		FY 2009	
	Actual		Projected		Projected	% Change
Cities, villages, and townships:						
Constitutional	\$ 665.98	\$	682.78	\$	675.99	-0.99%
Statutory	 404.92	_	392.05	_	406.93	3.80%
Total to CVTs	1,070.90		1,074.83		1,082.92	0.75%
Counties (statutory)	 				2.39	n/a
Total revenue sharing	\$ 1,070.90	\$	1,074.83	\$	1,085.31	0.97%

While the projection is for an overall increase of 0.75 percent (for cities, villages, and townships), the impact will not be evenly distributed between all local units. Remember, the 2 percent increase is for the statutory portion only - not the constitutional portion. The intent is for the total revenue sharing (constitutional plus statutory) in fiscal year 2009 to equal the total of constitutional and statutory revenue sharing received in fiscal year 2008, plus an additional payment equal to 2 percent of the fiscal year 2007 statutory revenue sharing received by the local unit. That may mean that for those units (primarily townships) that now receive no statutory revenue sharing, total revenue sharing projected for fiscal year 2009 will be identical to the amounts received in fiscal year 2008. We are awaiting a final distribution table from the Michigan Department of Treasury.

The governor's proposed budget also included \$2.4 million to restore state revenue-sharing payments for the six qualifying counties that will exhaust their revenue-sharing reserve funds in fiscal year 2008/2009. As you may remember, a Reserve Fund was created for each county in 2005 when the State eliminated counties from the revenue-sharing program (counties only receive statutory revenue sharing, not constitutional). In 2005, counties were required to phase in the early collection of winter property tax payments and to create a reserve fund with a portion of these monies. Counties have been drawing on their reserve funds to replace lost statutory revenue sharing. When the reserve fund is depleted, counties will then look to the State to re-enter the statutory portion of the revenue-sharing program. Prior to their elimination from the revenue-sharing program in 2005, counties statewide received approximately \$182 million annually.

It is encouraging that this budget funds revenue-sharing at a higher level than last year. As counties have started to come back into the formula, the legislature has budgeted this as an additional payment, rather than one that reduces distributions to the other local units of government. To a great extent, however, actual revenue-sharing distributions will depend on the stability of the State's budget, as well as the actual level of state tax collections. In addition, we need to remember that the statutory formula expired in 2007 and a new, permanent formula has not been enacted - please remember to remind your state representatives of the importance of extending this legislation.

The table below details state-shared revenue for the City since 2003 broken out by statutory and constitutional portions:

State Fiscal Year	Statutory	Constitutional	Total
2003	\$ 260,047	\$ 447,509	\$ 707,556
2004	193,269	442,649	635,918
2005	175,773	453,213	628,986
2006	161,060	460,927	621,987
2007	152,115	451,349	603,464
2008	140,726	462,738	603,464
2009 est.	151,412	458,137	609,549

If the State were to eliminate the statutory portion of revenue sharing (as the constitutional portion cannot be modified without a change to the State's constitution), the City has approximately \$151,000 at risk in its General Fund budget based on 2009 funding levels. In light of the current environment, we strongly encourage local governments to be conservative when budgeting or projecting the revenue-sharing line item.

Reminder - Change in Investment Act

Public Act 213 of 2007, adopted at the end of 2007, requires local governments to perform their investment reporting quarterly to the governing body. The investment of surplus monies by Michigan local governments is controlled by Public Act 20 of 1943. The Act previously required investment reporting annually. For many years, the City's practice has been to present a quarterly investment report along with the quarterly budget amendment report. It is suggested that those required quarterly reports now also list investments by institution along with maturity dates and interest rates.

Recent Revisions to State Transportation Funding Program

Current legislation modified Act 51 to allow local governments to transfer monies from their Major Streets Fund to their Local Streets Fund at a level of 50 percent of annual major street funding received. In addition, greater than 50 percent can be transferred. However, the amended law requires that certain conditions be met to allow for a transfer in excess of 50 percent including the adoption of an asset management process for the major and local street systems as well as a detailed resolution passed by the City. It is important to note that major street monies transferred for use on local streets cannot be used for construction but may be used for preservation. Current legislation also includes a pilot program that would allow for the combination of the Major Streets Fund and the Local Streets Fund if certain conditions are met.

Other Legislative Items

 As part of Michigan's new "Planning Enabling Act," many local governments will now be required to prepare an annual "capital improvements program." This new requirement is effective September 1, 2008. According to Public Act 33 of 2008, a planning commission, after the adoption of a master plan, shall annually prepare a capital improvements program of public structures and improvements. The law does allow that if the planning commission is exempted from this requirement, the legislative body shall prepare and adopt a capital improvements program or delegate this responsibility to the administration of the local unit for the ultimate approval by the legislative body. The law provides that the capital improvement program report public structures and improvements that, in the community's judgment, will be needed or desirable within the next six years. The law also requires that the public structures and improvements included in the capital improvements program be prioritized. Townships that do not either individually or jointly own or operate a water supply or sewage disposal system are exempt from this requirement. In general, Plante & Moran, PLLC strongly encourages the development of a capital plan. While the law is restricted to "public structures and improvements," we strongly encourage the inclusion of all capital assets - vehicles, machinery and equipment, office furnishings, etc. In addition, we feel the participation of the governing body (in addition to or instead of) the planning commission is good public policy.

This same public act added several other requirements of planning commissions, including annual reporting by the planning commission to the legislative body along with the mandatory creation of a master plan.

- Multiple bills are pending in Lansing that would make changes to investment laws governing Michigan communities. Changes have been proposed to add different types of investments to what is commonly referred to as "Public Act 20" which governs the investment of surplus operating monies. Changes are also being proposed to the laws governing the investment of retirement monies.
- A bill is pending in the Michigan Legislature regarding retainages held by governmental units.
 Retainages are a common method used by local governments in procurement, particularly in
 the area of construction contracts. The law change focuses on reducing the retainage
 amount that a local government could require and stipulate the payment of interest on these
 monies among other provisions.
- Efforts continue in the wake of the *Bolt* case to provide a means for local units of government to engage in rate making to finance the cost of utility operations, particularly that of storm water. Senate Bill 1249 has been introduced to address the tests included in the *Bolt* decision on whether a charge is really a fee or a tax.

We would like to thank Ms. Nickie Bateson, Ms. Sandi Wiktorowski, Ms. Cindy Kushner, and the entire City staff for their assistance during the audit. We appreciate the opportunity to serve as your auditors. If there are any questions about your financial report or the above comments and recommendations, we would be happy to discuss them at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

Plante & Moran, PLLC

Christopher S. Jones

Kristin L. Bunt

Kristin L. Hunt

Attachment I

Client:

City of Northville

Opinion Unit	Major Streets Fund												
Year End:	6/30/2008	SUMMARY OF UNRECORDED POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS											
			Increase (decrease)										
Ref. #	Description of Misstatement		Assets		_iabilities	Fund I	quity		Revenue		xpenses/ enditures		
Known Miss	tatements:												
AI	The City has recorded MDOT grant funds as revenue and expense in the fund statements. Because the City does not administer this project, the amount should be recorded net rather than gross.							\$	(285,039)	\$	(285,039)		
Estimate Ad	justments:	Ī											
ВІ	None	-											
Implied Adju	ustments:												
CI	None	-											
		\$	-	\$		\$	-						
	Combined effect	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	(285,039)	\$	(285,039)		